MINUTES

DSBA TAXATION SECTION

Monday, October 24, 2016

The meeting was held at **4:00pm** at the Offices of Cooch and Taylor, P.A. 3711 Kennett Pike, Suite 100 Greenville, DE 19807

In attendance were:

- Jennifer E. Smith
- Andrew T. O'Neill
- Mark M. Dalle Pazze
- Matthew P. D'Emilio
- Rebecca Beste
- Kristen Bennett
- and via telephone were Rob Tuinstra, Jim Gallagher, Joseph Bosik, IV, and Harold W. T. Purnell II.

The Chair called the meeting to order.

- 1. Approval of the minutes for the September 26, 2016 meeting.
 - The Minutes of the meeting of September 26, 2016, were unanimously approved.

2. Old Business:

- Reminder: Delaware Tax Institute Taxation Section Co-Sponsored CLE
 - o Friday, December 2, 2016
 - o The Chair reminded the members that we historically have co-sponsored this event. The Chair asked the members to please check it out, support it, and consider registering for it online.

3. New Business:

- DSBA Taxation Section –CLE Program
 - The Chair was contacted by Alison Macindoe of DSBA's Law Office Management Assistance Program.
 - Alison asked our Section to provide a speaker for a CLE discussing common mistakes and pitfalls for small law firms
 - The speaker can be an accountant or an attorney

- Please email the Chair with ideas
- Can be a very short CLE 1.5 hours
- A breakfast CLE is a good idea
- Something during the winter in January or February is preferable
- Bill Purnell suggested that getting a CPA in early spring will be problematic
- The Chair suggested that it might not have to be winter date

Speaker

- The guest speaker today is James DeChene Director, Government Relations for the Delaware State Chamber of Commerce
- o The Chair introduced speaker
- o Mr. DeChene spoke to the section:
 - Mr. DeChene has been with the Delaware State Chamber of Commerce for three years
 - Recently, he has been involved with Delaware unclaimed property issues
 - Mr. DeChene discussed the budget issues Delaware is facing because of recent cases regarding Delaware's unclaimed property policy
 - Temple-Inland case in US District Court for the District of DE
 - Was settled this past summer
 - Will have a fairly large impact on how division of finance administers its program going forward
 - Suggested that methodology the state uses to calculate unclaimed property is invalid and shouldn't be used
 - o Thirty years is an incredible amount of time
 - Court found in favor of Temple-Inland and against Delaware
 - Delaware settled before the Court awarded damages
 - Temple-Inland had all fees covered by state
 - In a new case, Delaware has asked SCOTUS
 - SCOTUS has agreed to weigh in on a dispute in which over twenty states are claiming they (not Delaware) should get over \$150 million from uncashed MoneyGram checks
 - Delaware is hoping their case will be the first to define this to negate what a multi-state case would do
 - Decision would render multistate case moot

- SCOTUS said they will hear DE and the 22 other states
- Hopefully SCOTUS will rule first that unclaimed property is escheatable
- SCOTUS probably will not consolidate cases because DE asking for a definition and other states are asking for damages
- The best case scenario would be affirmation of the DE practice
- Potential Delaware legislative action
 - Possible modification from 30 year to 15 year rule
 - Division of revenue has not modified anything though
 - General Assembly will have to do something to make up for any money lost from unclaimed property source
 - Carney has expressed his desire to not raise taxes
- Unclaimed property is about 15% of Delaware's budget from \$550 million line at the top
- DFAC
 - \$450 million estimated in unclaimed property collection for next fiscal year
 - These settlements should be in hand, so it is likely there won't be any changes until after next year.
- Delaware's reputation has been damaged by this
- DE has great reputation for incorporating, but hits people with a sledgehammer on the back-end with unclaimed property
 - This bad publicity could impact the decision to incorporate in DE
- Mr. DeChene suggested that the money that these companies currently have to pay to DE is arguably not the companies' money anyway
 - Mr. Tuinstra disagrees:
 - o In looking at the process, the process creates unclaimed property out of thin air
 - o For example
 - A company argues the escheatable amount equals \$5,000
 - DE argues the escheatable amount equals \$1,000,000
 - o Estimation is ok, but the state's current methodology is too aggressive

- o The District Court gave DE the chance to change its process before it ruled, so DE settled.
- Now we need to decide what to do regarding the audit process going forward so that this doesn't happen again
- o If you can't identify who the sender was, DE says the unclaimed property escheats to state of incorporation
- Other states argue that if the store is in their state, the money should go to that state where the physical order takes place
- The conversation switched topics and Mr. DeChene addressed the following:
 - OMB Discretionary funds
 - Increases in Medicaid costs
 - Increases in Public Health costs
 - Schools and corrections are big costs
 - A recent budget presentation showed
 - How little money DE will have until there are personnel cuts
 - The Markell administration said, however, they wouldn't cut personnel
 - Over half the budget (52%) are personnel costs
 - John Carney has said he doesn't want to raise taxes so there must be either:
 - Serious cuts of personnel or
 - Serious cuts of programming
 - o Remaining 4% of budget used for everything else in budget
 - Maybe cut the "Raise 5?"
 - Cut the estate tax to get people to stay here?
 - Means testing the senior citizen property tax credit?
 - Property tax reassessment possibility?
 - County should worry about state taking transfer money back
 - Counties have enjoyed not having to raise taxes the past few years
 - o Now state needs it
 - No appetite to reassess downstate
 - o Talking about statewide property tax

- A lot of people believe estate tax costs DE more money than it generates
- One idea is raising the top income tax bracket
 - One representative suggested
 - o 125 at 7.1%
 - o 250 at 7.6%
- There is a serious risk of Delawareans moving to other states right over the border
 - Mr. D'Emilio suggested Delaware will lose a significant number of residents if taxes are increased because the cost of private school is already a big deterrent
- If Delaware wants to save money, the state has to cut personnel or programming
- 4. Items of Interest:
 - Speakers for upcoming meetings include:
 - o Charles J. Durante, Partner, Connolly Gallagher LLP
 - o Patrick Carter & John ("Chip") McDaniel, Delaware Division of Revenue
 - o Christine Allie, Professor of Law, Widener University School of Law
 - L. Jean Everett & Shelia Winfrey-Brown, City of Wilmington Department of Finance
- 5. Next Meeting December 19, 2016
 - The Monday, November 28, 2016, Meeting is cancelled

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark M. Dalle Pazze

Secretary